



Beyond Physical Health: Integrating Mental Health Screening in Pediatric Care Settings

Dr. Kavichelvi K¹ Dr. Justin Jasper M²

1. Professor cum Principal – St. Mary's College of Nursing, Lucknow
2. Professor cum Vice Principal – St. Mary's College of Nursing, Lucknow

<https://doi.org/10.53926/YNJR/0019>

<https://ynjr.in/>

Abstract

Background: Mental health disorders affect a substantial proportion of children and adolescents, yet many cases remain undetected in primary care settings. Professional organizations strongly endorse routine mental health screening in pediatric practice, but implementation remains inconsistent. **Objective:** This narrative review synthesizes current evidence on integrating mental health screening in pediatric care settings, examining the rationale, current practices, validated instruments, implementation strategies, barriers and facilitators, outcomes, and future directions. **Methods:** We reviewed systematic reviews, implementation studies, quality improvement projects, and clinical guidelines addressing mental health screening in pediatric primary care. **Results:** While numerous validated screening instruments exist and professional guidelines support routine screening, implementation faces multifaceted barriers including time constraints, inadequate training, workflow challenges, and limited mental health service access. Successful integration requires systematic approaches including collaborative care models, co-located behavioral health services, quality improvement methodologies, and addressing provider-level and system-level barriers. Evidence demonstrates that systematic screening increases identification rates and facilitates earlier intervention, though sustained implementation requires ongoing support, adequate resources, and workflow alignment. **Conclusion:** Mental health screening integration in pediatric primary care is feasible and beneficial but requires comprehensive implementation strategies, enhanced training, improved reimbursement models, and system-level support to achieve widespread adoption and sustained practice.

Keywords: Mental Health Screening; Pediatric Primary Care; Implementation; Behavioral Health Integration; Child and Adolescent Mental Health

1. Introduction

Mental health disorders are highly prevalent in pediatric populations, frequently co-occur with physical health conditions, and profoundly impact child development, academic achievement, and long-term health trajectories [1,2]. The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and other professional organizations emphasize the critical role of pediatric primary care providers in identifying and addressing mental health concerns [3,4]. Pediatric primary care settings offer unique advantages for mental health screening: they serve as the medical home for most children, provide continuity of care across developmental stages, maintain established relationships with families, and offer opportunities for prevention and early intervention [5,6].

Despite strong professional endorsements, systematic mental health screening integration into routine pediatric practice remains inconsistent and faces numerous implementation challenges [7,8]. This narrative review synthesizes evidence regarding the rationale, current practices, validated instruments, implementation strategies, barriers and facilitators, outcomes, and future directions for mental health screening in pediatric care settings.

2. Rationale for Mental Health Screening in Pediatric Settings

2.1 Prevalence and Impact

Mental health disorders affect approximately 13-20% of children and adolescents, with many cases going undetected until problems become severe [1,9]. India is home to

approximately 250 million adolescents, representing roughly one-fifth of the nation's population and a significant proportion of the global adolescent cohort. Epidemiological estimates suggest that “around 20% of Indian pediatric populations experience a disabling mental illness, translating to tens of millions of affected young people”. “National survey data have identified suicide as the third leading cause of death among Indian adolescents, a statistic that underscores the severity and urgency of the mental health crisis in this age group. Early identification and intervention can alter developmental trajectories, prevent escalation to more serious conditions, and improve long-term outcomes [10,11]. The bidirectional relationship between physical and mental health underscores the importance of comprehensive assessment in pediatric settings [12].

2.2 The Role of Primary Care

Pediatric primary care providers are uniquely positioned to identify mental health concerns early. Most children have regular contact with primary care through well-child visits, providing natural opportunities for screening and monitoring across developmental stages [5,6]. Primary care settings reduce stigma compared to specialty mental health services and offer accessibility advantages, particularly for underserved populations [13,14]. The medical home model emphasizes comprehensive, coordinated care that includes mental health as an integral component of pediatric practice [3,15].

3. Current State of Mental Health Screening Practices

Despite professional recommendations, mental health screening implementation varies widely across pediatric

practices [7,16]. Surveys indicate that while many pediatricians recognize the importance of mental health screening, actual implementation of systematic, validated screening protocols remains limited [17,18]. Practices that do screen often use informal methods rather than standardized instruments, potentially missing cases that would be identified through validated tools [19, 20].

The AAP recommends annual screening for behavioral and emotional problems starting at age 4, depression screening for adolescents ages 12 and older, and screening for maternal depression at well-child visits during the infant's first year [3,8]. However, adherence to these guidelines is inconsistent, with implementation rates varying significantly by practice setting, provider training, and available resources [21, 22].

4. Validated Screening Tools and Instruments

4.1 Broad-Band Screening Instruments

Several validated instruments screen for general behavioral and emotional problems across pediatric age ranges. The Pediatric Symptom Checklist (PSC) and its abbreviated versions (PSC-17, PSC-35) are widely used, brief, parent-report measures that identify psychosocial dysfunction in children ages 4-18 [14,23]. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) assesses emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity, peer problems, and prosocial behavior in children ages 2-17 [24]. The Ages and Stages Questionnaire: Social-Emotional (ASQ:SE) targets younger children, screening for social-emotional development from 1 month to 6 years [25].

4.2 Disorder-Specific Instruments

For targeted screening, disorder-specific instruments offer greater sensitivity. The Patient Health Questionnaire-9 Modified for Adolescents (PHQ-A) and the PHQ-2 screen specifically for depression in adolescents [26, 27]. The Screen for Child Anxiety Related Disorders (SCARED) and the Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7) assess anxiety symptoms [13,]. The Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS) and the Ask Suicide-Screening Questions (ASQ) tool address suicide risk.

4.3 Selection Considerations

Tool selection should consider the target age range, screening purpose (broad vs. targeted), administration time, scoring complexity, psychometric properties, and cultural appropriateness [6,15]. Practices often implement tiered screening approaches, using broad-band instruments for universal screening followed by disorder-specific tools for positive screens [6,3].

5. Implementation Strategies and Models of Care Integration

5.1 Collaborative Care Models

Collaborative care models integrate behavioral health specialists into primary care teams, facilitating consultation, care coordination, and brief interventions [1,5]. These models improve access to mental health services, enhance provider confidence in managing mental health concerns, and demonstrate effectiveness in improving patient outcomes [5,11]. Co-location of behavioral health providers in primary

care settings enables warm handoffs, immediate consultation, and shared care planning [3,5].

5.2 Quality Improvement Approaches

Quality improvement (QI) methodologies provide structured frameworks for implementing and sustaining screening programs. The Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) cycle allows practices to test screening protocols, identify barriers, and refine processes iteratively [22,13]. Multi-site QI collaboratives facilitate shared learning and accelerate implementation across practices [22].

Key QI strategies include workflow integration, staff training, electronic health record (EHR) optimization, and establishing clear protocols for positive screen follow-up [7,16].

5.3 Technology-Enabled Solutions

Electronic screening platforms streamline administration, scoring, and documentation while reducing provider burden [16]. Tablet-based or web-based screening allows families to complete instruments in waiting rooms with automatic scoring and EHR integration [10].

Clinical decision support tools embedded in EHRs prompt screening at appropriate intervals and guide follow-up actions [10]. Telehealth expands access to mental health consultation and follow-up services, particularly in underserved areas [4].

6. Barriers and Facilitators to Implementation

6.1 Provider-Level Barriers

Time constraints represent the most frequently cited barrier, with providers reporting insufficient visit time to administer screens, discuss results, and address identified concerns [17, 18]. Inadequate training in mental health assessment, intervention, and referral processes limits provider confidence and competence [23, 17]. Concerns about managing positive screens without adequate mental health resources create reluctance to implement systematic screening [17]. Reimbursement challenges and uncertainty about billing for screening and brief interventions pose financial barriers [20].

6.2 System-Level Barriers

Limited access to mental health services for referral represents a critical system-level barrier [17]. Long wait times for specialty mental health appointments, insurance limitations, and geographic shortages of child mental health providers create bottlenecks that discourage screening [17]. Workflow integration challenges, including lack of standardized protocols, unclear staff roles, and inadequate EHR functionality, impede systematic implementation [7,16]. Organizational culture and leadership support significantly influence implementation success [22,7].

6.3 Facilitators

Key facilitators include strong leadership commitment, adequate training and ongoing support, integrated behavioral health services, streamlined workflows with clear protocols, EHR optimization, dedicated care coordination staff, and alignment with quality metrics and reimbursement incentives [22]. Provider buy-in increases when screening is perceived as feasible, valuable, and supported by adequate resources [7]. Family engagement and cultural adaptation of screening processes enhance acceptability and effectiveness [12].

7. Outcomes and Effectiveness

7.1 Identification and Detection Rates

Systematic screening significantly increases identification of mental health concerns compared to clinical judgment alone [22, 9]. Studies demonstrate that validated screening tools detect cases that would otherwise be missed, with identification rates increasing 2-4-fold following screening implementation [13]. Earlier identification enables intervention before problems escalate, potentially altering developmental trajectories [11].

7.2 Clinical and Functional Outcomes

Evidence supports screening's effectiveness in improving access to mental health services, with screened populations showing higher rates of mental health service utilization and treatment initiation [1,5]. Integrated care models combining screening with co-located behavioral health services demonstrate improvements in symptom reduction, functional outcomes, and patient satisfaction [5]. Quality improvement initiatives report sustained increases in screening rates, provider confidence, and care coordination [22].

7.3 Implementation Sustainability

Sustained implementation requires ongoing attention to workflow integration, staff training, leadership support, and resource allocation [22,7]. Practices that successfully maintain screening programs typically embed protocols into routine workflows, utilize EHR prompts and documentation templates, provide regular staff training, and establish clear pathways for positive screen management [7]. Continuous quality monitoring and feedback loops support sustained adherence to screening protocols [22,13].

8. Future Directions and Recommendations

8.1 Training and Education

Enhanced training in mental health screening, assessment, and management should be integrated into pediatric residency curricula and continuing medical education [23]. Training should address not only screening administration but also motivational interviewing, brief interventions, care coordination, and collaborative care principles [11,19]. Interprofessional education involving primary care providers, behavioral health specialists, and care coordinators can strengthen team-based approaches [5,19].

References

1. Buchanan T, et al. Integration of behavioral health services and adolescent depression screening in primary care. *J Pediatr Health Care*. 2020;34(4):303–311.
2. Parkhurst J, et al. Screening for mental health problems in pediatric primary care. *Pediatr Ann*. 2020;49(10):e421–e426.
3. Weitzman C, et al. Promoting optimal development: Screening for mental health, emotional, and behavioral problems. *Pediatrics*. 2025;155(1):e2025073172.
4. Lord S, et al. Workshop on implementation science and digital therapeutics for behavioral health. *JMIR Ment Health*. 2021;8(2):e17662. DOI: 10.2196/17662

8.2 Technology and Innovation

Continued development of technology-enabled screening platforms, clinical decision support tools, and telehealth solutions can enhance feasibility and reach [16, 4]. Artificial intelligence and machine learning approaches may improve risk stratification and personalized intervention matching [4]. Mobile health applications and digital therapeutics offer potential for extending support beyond clinic visits [4].

8.3 Research Priorities

Research priorities include comparative effectiveness studies of different screening instruments and implementation strategies, long-term outcome studies examining the impact of early identification and intervention, implementation science research identifying optimal strategies for diverse practice settings, health equity research addressing disparities in screening and access to services, and cost-effectiveness analyses informing resource allocation and policy decisions [9,19].

9. Conclusion

Integrating mental health screening into pediatric primary care represents an essential component of comprehensive child health services. Strong evidence supports the prevalence and impact of pediatric mental health disorders, the effectiveness of validated screening instruments, and the feasibility of implementation when adequate support and resources are provided. While significant barriers persist, including time constraints, training gaps, workflow challenges, and limited mental health service access successful models demonstrate that systematic screening can be achieved through collaborative care approaches, quality improvement methodologies, technology-enabled solutions, and system-level support. Moving forward, widespread adoption and sustained implementation require multi-level interventions addressing provider training, reimbursement models, workflow integration, and mental health service capacity. Professional organizations, healthcare systems, payers, and policymakers must collaborate to create an infrastructure that supports routine mental health screening as a standard component of pediatric care. By identifying mental health concerns early and connecting children and families to appropriate services, pediatric primary care can play a transformative role in improving mental health outcomes for the next generation.

5. Hacker K, et al. Pediatric provider processes for behavioral health screening, decision making, and referral in sites with colocated mental health services. *J Dev Behav Pediatr*. 2013;34(9):680687. DOI:10.1097/DBP.0000000000000003
6. Trafalis A, et al. A pediatrician-friendly review of three common behavioral health screeners in pediatric practice. *Pediatr Investig*. 2021;5(1):42–50. DOI: 10.1002/ped4.12238
7. Godoy L, et al. Pediatric provider experiences with implementation of routine mental health screening. *J Dev Behav Pediatr*. 2021;42(2):107–115. DOI: 10.1097/DBP.0000000000000885

8. Weitzman C, et al. Promoting optimal development: Screening for behavioral and emotional problems. *Pediatrics*. 2015;135(2):384–395. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2014-3716
9. Wissow LS, et al. Universal mental health screening in pediatric primary care: A systematic review. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2013;52(11):1134–1147. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaac.2013.08.013
10. Grasso DJ, et al. Implementation of a computerized algorithmic support tool for identifying depression and anxiety. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. 2015;54(14):1329–1335. DOI: 10.1177/0009922815591889
11. Kenny MC, et al. All hands on deck: Addressing adolescent depression in pediatric primary care. *J Pediatr Psychol*. 2021;46(7):821–835. DOI: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsab038
12. Mattson G, et al. Psychosocial factors in children and youth with special health care needs and their families. *Pediatrics*. 2019;143(1):e20183171. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2018-3171
13. Kilkelly C, et al. Improving mental health outcomes in adolescent patients with universal anxiety screening. *J Pediatr Health Care*. 2023;38(1):35–43.
14. Dyer HL. Comparing the utilization of the PEDS and PSC-17 screeners in a pediatric primary care clinic [honors thesis]. Johnson City (TN): East Tennessee State University; 2016.
Available from: <https://dc.etsu.edu/honors/320>.
15. Brown JD, et al. Screening to identify mental health problems in pediatric primary care. *Int J Psychiatry Med*. 2010;40(1):1–18. DOI: 10.2190/PM.40.1.a
16. Fothergill KE, et al. Assessing the impact of a web-based comprehensive somatic and mental health screening tool. *Acad Pediatr*. 2013;13(4):340–347. DOI: 10.1016/j.acap.2013.03.009
17. Diamond GS, et al. Attitudes, practices, and barriers to adolescent suicide and mental health screening. *J Prim Care Community Health*. 2012;4(2):148–155. DOI: 10.1177/2150131912461933
18. Weitzman C, et al. Screening for behavioral health problems in primary care. *Curr Opin Pediatr*. 2006;18(6):641–648. DOI: 10.1097/MOP.0b013e328010c8f1
19. Butler AM, et al. Addressing mental health earlier in pediatric primary care. *Fam Syst Health*. 2024;42(1):1–8.
20. Acri M, et al. Barriers and facilitators to mental health screening efforts for families. *J Fam Soc Work*. 2018;21(2):99–112. DOI: 10.1080/10522158.2018.1432195
21. Sheldrick RC, Merchant S, Perrin EC. Identification of developmental-behavioral problems in primary care: A systematic review. *Pediatrics*. 2011;128(2):356–363. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2010-3261
22. Beers LS, et al. Mental health screening quality improvement learning collaborative. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140(6):e20162966. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2016-2966
23. Forman-Hoffman VL, et al. Screening for depression in pediatric primary care. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2018;20(8):62. DOI: 10.1007/s11920-018-0926-7
24. Talen MR, Baumer JG, Mann MM. Screening measures in integrated behavioral health and primary care settings. In: Talen MR, Burke Valeras A, editors. *Integrated Behavioral Health in Primary Care*. New York: Springer; 2013. p. 239–272. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4614-6889-9_12
25. Mulvaney-Day N, Marshall T, Piscopo KD, et al. Screening for behavioral health conditions in primary care settings: a systematic review of the literature. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2018;33(3):335–346. DOI: 10.1007/s11606-017-4181-0
26. Horowitz LM, Bridge JA, Teach SJ, Ballard E, Klima J, Rosenstein DL, et al. Ask suicide-screening questions (ASQ): A brief instrument for the pediatric emergency department. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2012;166(12):1170–1176. DOI: 10.1001/archpediatrics.2012.1276
27. Petrovic-Dovat L, et al. Anxiety screening in pediatric primary care setting. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2023;62(10):S291.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)